

THE GEODESIC AND THE GEODESIC DEVIATION EQUATIONS

Let's take a timelike wave $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$, i.e. a wave where

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu < 0 \quad (1)$$

ds^2 is a main invariant of the GR. An observer following the line $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ will have $dx^i = 0$ so that $dx^0 = c d\tau$ where τ is the proper time. The previous equation then reads:

$$-c^2 d\tau^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} d\lambda^2 \quad (2)$$

It seems convenient to choose τ as the parameter of the wave $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ so that the previous equation reads:

$$c^2 = -g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu \quad \text{where } u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \quad (3)$$

u^μ are the components of the 4-vector speed \vec{U} .

Since τ has been defined from (1), τ is an invariant under any coordinate transformation.

The 4-vector speed \vec{U} is defined as:

$$\vec{U} = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \hat{e}_\mu \quad (4) \quad \text{where } \hat{e}_\mu \text{ is the local basis of unitary 4-vectors.}$$

(2)

Now, let's recall the total derivation of a vector.

Be $\vec{V} = V^\gamma \hat{e}_\gamma$ a 4-vector.

Let's move the origin of our spacetime by dx^α .
The total derivative of the 4-vector is :

$$\frac{\nabla \vec{V}}{\nabla x^\alpha} = \frac{dV^\gamma}{dx^\alpha} \cdot \hat{e}_\gamma + V^\gamma \cdot \frac{d\hat{e}_\gamma}{dx^\alpha} \quad (5)$$

Moving from ~~xxxxx~~ to the origin the basis change from \hat{e}_μ to \hat{e}'_μ . The Christoffel symbols are there to give us the law of variation of the basis.

(5) becomes :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla \vec{V}}{\nabla x^\alpha} &= \frac{dV^\gamma}{dx^\alpha} \hat{e}_\gamma + V^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\nu \hat{e}_\nu = \text{changing } \gamma \rightarrow \nu \\ &= \frac{dV^\nu}{dx^\alpha} \hat{e}_\nu + V^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\nu \hat{e}_\nu = \left(\frac{dV^\nu}{dx^\alpha} + V^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\nu \right) \hat{e}_\nu \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Therefore we can define the total derivative of the components of a vector as :

$$\frac{\nabla V^\nu}{\nabla x^\alpha} = \frac{dV^\nu}{dx^\alpha} + V^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\nu \quad (7)$$

If we consider a curve $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ then the total derivative of a vector along the curve is:

$$\frac{\vec{D}\vec{V}}{\vec{D}\lambda} = \left(\frac{\vec{D}V^\nu}{\vec{D}\lambda} \right) \hat{e}_\nu \text{ where } \frac{\vec{D}V^\nu}{\vec{D}\lambda} = \frac{dV^\nu}{dx^\alpha} \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} + V^\delta \Gamma_{\delta\alpha}^\nu \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \quad (8)$$

— o —

Now, let's take a curve $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ that is timelike, i.e. $ds^2 < 0$. Let's fix 2 points, A and B, on that curve. The time-law that defines the kinematics of a massive point along that trajectory $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$ is the one that extremises the action S defined as:

$$S = -m \int_{x_A}^{x_B} d\tau \quad (9)$$

The proper time is well defined since $ds^2 < 0$ on $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$. The formal procedure is to consider the Euler-Lagrange equation and solve the problem. Instead I justify the result, following a simple argument.

In the action (9) there are not any potentials therefore it seems intuitive that the kinematics is that of a free particle: $\frac{\vec{D}\vec{U}}{\vec{D}\tau} = 0$ (not $\frac{d\vec{U}}{d\tau} = 0$)

where \vec{U} is the velocity vector: $\vec{U} = \frac{dx^\mu}{dz} \cdot \hat{e}_\mu$ (10) ④

$$= U^\mu \hat{e}_\mu$$

Hence from (8)

$$\frac{\nabla U^\mu}{\nabla z} = \frac{dU^\mu}{dz} + U^\nu \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu \frac{dx^\lambda}{dz} = \frac{dU^\mu}{dz} + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu U^\nu U^\lambda \quad (11)$$

So, the GEODESIC EQUATION is:

$$\frac{dU^\mu}{dz} + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu U^\nu U^\lambda = 0 \quad (12)$$

Now, let's imagine to have 2 curves, both respect the geodesic equation. The first is described by $x^\mu(z)$ the other by $x^\mu(z) + \xi^\mu(z)$, i.e. the second is a wave described by the first observer.

If we apply the (12) on $\frac{d}{dz}(x^\mu + \xi^\mu)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dz^2}(x^\mu + \xi^\mu) + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\mu'} \left(\frac{dx^\lambda}{dz} + \frac{d\xi^\lambda}{dz} \right) \left(\frac{dx^\nu}{dz} + \frac{d\xi^\nu}{dz} \right) &= \\ = \frac{d^2 \xi^\mu}{dz^2} + \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{dz^2} + \left[\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu + (\partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu) \cdot \xi^\alpha \right] ()^\lambda ()^\nu \end{aligned}$$

we suppose that ξ^μ is "small"

Considering that $x^{\mu}(\tau)$ respects a geodesic equation: ⑤

$$\frac{d^2 \xi^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + [\Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu} + \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu}] \frac{d\xi^{\gamma}}{d\tau} \frac{d\xi^{\nu}}{d\tau} + \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu} \frac{dx^{\gamma}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \neq$$

$$\neq [\Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu} + \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu}] \left(\frac{dx^{\gamma}}{d\tau} \frac{d\xi^{\nu}}{d\tau} + \frac{d\xi^{\gamma}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} \right) = 0$$

Considering $\frac{d\xi^{\mu}}{d\tau}$ small as ξ^{μ} , taking only the terms proportional to either ξ^{μ} or $\frac{d\xi^{\mu}}{d\tau}$, we have :

$$\boxed{\frac{d^2 \xi^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \xi^{\alpha} (\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu}) \frac{dx^{\gamma}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau} + 2 \Gamma_{\gamma\nu}^{\mu} \frac{dx^{\gamma}}{d\tau} \frac{d\xi^{\nu}}{d\tau} = 0} \quad (13)$$

This is the GEODESIC DEVIATION EQUATION