

Effect of a GW on an interferometer in the TT gauge

We consider ~~the test masses are free~~
~~in the horizontal plane the test masses are free~~
 in the TT gauge.

Let's imagine that a GW with polarization + propagates along the axis z. It is not important the direction because the only relevant fact is the value of h on the plane where the test masses are located ($z=0$). The Michelson interferometer has the arms along the x and y axes.

For 2 events separated by an infinitesimal distance on the detector plane we have

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + [1 + h_+(t)] dx^2 + [1 - h_+(t)] dy^2 \quad (1)$$

t, x, and y are the coordinates as seen by the observer using ~~the TT gauge~~ The TT gauge.

A photon has $ds^2 = 0$.

For a photon that propagates along the x-axis: (2)

$$c^2 dt^2 = [1 + h_+] dx^2 \quad (2)$$

which means $dt \approx \pm \left[1 + \frac{h_+}{2}\right] \frac{dx}{c}$ (3) considering that $h_+ \ll 1$. + is for ~~waves~~ photons travelling right bound whereas - is for left bound photons.

Let's calculate the time the photon takes to go to the mirror and come back.

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 - t_0 &= t_2 - t_1 + t_1 + t_0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt = \\ &= \int_0^{L_x} \left[1 + \frac{h_+}{2}\right] \frac{dx}{c} - \int_{L_x}^0 \left[1 + \frac{h_+}{2}\right] \frac{dx}{c} = \frac{2L_x}{c} + \int_0^{L_x} dx - \int_{L_x}^0 dx \end{aligned}$$

Now a trick: from (3), to 0-order in h , $\frac{dx}{c} = \pm dt$

hence:

The position L_x of the mirror does not change because we are in the TT gauge

~~$$t_2 - t_0 = 2c \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \left[1 + \frac{h_+}{2}\right] dt$$~~

$$t_2 - t_0 = \frac{2L_x}{c} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{L_x/c} h_+(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{L_x/c}^{t_2} h_+(t) dt =$$

(3)

$$= \frac{2Lx}{c} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_2} h_+(t) dt = \frac{2Lx}{c} + \frac{1}{2} h_0 \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \sin(\Omega t) dt$$

$$t_2 - t_0 = \frac{2Lx}{c} + \frac{h_0}{2\Omega} [\cos(\Omega t_0) - \cos(\Omega t_2)] \quad (4)$$

at 0-order in h we have $t_2 = t_0 + \frac{2Lx}{c}$ hence :

$$t_2 - t_0 = \frac{2Lx}{c} + \frac{h_0}{2\Omega} [\cos(\Omega t_0) - \cos\{\Omega[t_0 + \frac{2Lx}{c}]\}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \alpha - \cos(\alpha + 2\beta) &= \cos \alpha - \cos \alpha \cos 2\beta + \sin \alpha \sin 2\beta = \\ &= \cos \alpha (1 - \cos 2\beta) + \sin \alpha \sin 2\beta = 2 \cos \alpha \sin^2 \beta + 2 \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cos \beta = \\ &= 2 \sin \beta (\cos \alpha \sin \beta + \sin \alpha \cos \beta) = 2 \sin \beta \sin(\alpha + \beta) \end{aligned}$$

Hence :

$$\begin{aligned} t_2 - t_0 &= \frac{2Lx}{c} + \frac{h_0}{\Omega} \sin\left[\Omega\left(t_0 + \frac{Lx}{c}\right)\right] \cdot \sin\left(\Omega \frac{Lx}{c}\right) = \\ &= \frac{2Lx}{c} \left\{ 1 + \frac{h_0}{2} \sin\left[\Omega\left(t_0 + \frac{Lx}{c}\right)\right] \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\Omega \frac{Lx}{c}\right)}{\Omega \frac{Lx}{c}} \right\} \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

(4)

The previous equation needs to be explained a bit.
 t_0 and t_2 are 2 running times, one linked to the beam splitter when the beam departs and the other, t_2 , linked to the BS when the beam arrives.

At the departure time the electric field is $\vec{E}_0 e^{-i\omega t_0}$

At the arrival time the electric field is $\frac{\vec{E}_0}{2} e^{-i\omega t_2}$

The MM book decided to work with the arrival time then the electric field then:

$$\vec{E}^{(x)}(t) = \frac{\vec{E}_0}{2} e^{-i\omega t_0(t)} = \frac{\vec{E}_0}{2} e^{-i\omega \left\{ t - \frac{2Lx}{c} + \frac{h\omega}{\Omega} \sin \left[\Omega \left(t - \frac{Lx}{c} \right) \sin \frac{\Omega Lx}{c} \right] \right\}} \quad (6)$$

where we made the replacement of t_2 with t and, to zero order in h , we have $t_2 = t = t_0 + \frac{2Lx}{c}$

The previous can be written as:

$$\vec{E}^{(x)}(t) = \frac{\vec{E}_0}{2} e^{-i \left\{ \omega \left(t - \frac{2Lx}{c} \right) - \delta\varphi^{(x)} \right\}} \quad (7)$$

where $\delta\varphi^{(x)} = \frac{\omega}{\Omega} h \left(t - \frac{Lx}{c} \right) \cdot \sin \left(\frac{\Omega Lx}{c} \right) \quad (8)$

With similar arguments we have

(5)

$$\vec{E}^{(y)}(t) = -\frac{\vec{E}_0}{2} e^{-i\{\omega(t - \frac{2L_y}{c}) + \delta\varphi^{(y)}\}} \quad (9)$$

where $\delta\varphi^{(y)} = \frac{\omega}{\Omega} h(t - \frac{L_y}{c}) \cdot \sin(\Omega \frac{L_y}{c}) \quad (10)$

So, the electric field leaving the BS towards the photodiode is :

$$\vec{E}_{out} = \frac{\vec{E}_0}{2} \left\{ e^{-i[\omega(t - \frac{2L_x}{c}) + \delta\varphi^{(x)}]} - e^{-i[\omega(t - \frac{2L_y}{c}) + \delta\varphi^{(y)}]} \right\} \quad (11)$$

The intensity is proportional to $\vec{E}^* \cdot \vec{E}$.

$$\begin{aligned} I \propto \vec{E}_{out} \cdot \vec{E}_{out}^* &= \frac{E_0^2}{4} \left\{ 1 + 1 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-i[\omega \frac{2(L_y - L_x)}{c} - \delta\varphi^{(x)} - \delta\varphi^{(y)}]} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{+i[\omega \frac{2(L_y - L_x)}{c} - \delta\varphi^{(x)} - \delta\varphi^{(y)}]} \right\} = \\ &= \frac{E_0^2}{2} \left\{ 1 - \cos\left[\omega \frac{2(L_y - L_x)}{c} - \delta\varphi^{(x)} - \delta\varphi^{(y)}\right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The length difference is small compared to the lengths, so: (12)

$$L_y - L_x = \Delta L \ll L_x, L_y \rightarrow \delta\varphi^{(x)} + \delta\varphi^{(y)} = 2\delta\varphi = 2 \frac{\omega}{\Omega} h_0 \sin(t - \frac{L}{c}) \sin(\frac{\Omega L}{c})$$

(6)

$$I \propto \frac{E_0^2}{2} \left\{ 1 - \cos[\varphi_0 - 2\delta\varphi] \right\}$$

$$\delta\varphi = \frac{\omega}{\Omega} h_0 \cdot \sin\left[\omega\left(t - \frac{L}{c}\right)\right] \sin\left(\frac{\Omega L}{c}\right)$$

$$\delta\varphi \sim \frac{10^{14}}{10^2} \cdot 10^{-21} = 10^{-9} \text{ rad.} \ll 1 \text{ then}$$

$$I \propto \frac{E_0^2}{2} \left\{ 1 - \cos\varphi_0 - \sin\varphi_0 \cdot 2\delta\varphi \right\} \quad (13)$$

It seems better to have $\varphi_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ but the DC is huge!

Instead, if φ_0 is close to 0 we can write:

$$I \propto \frac{E_0^2}{2} \left\{ \cancel{1} - \cancel{1} + \frac{\varphi_0^2}{2} - \varphi_0 \cdot 2\delta\varphi \right\}$$

Hence, the signal-to-DC ratio is $\frac{2\delta\varphi}{\varphi_0}$

If one consider the signal-to-noise ratio, the main source of noise is the shot noise, which is proportional to the square root of the h density $\rightarrow I_{\text{shot}} \propto \varphi_0$
hence the SNR become constant for $\varphi_0 \rightarrow 0$